Global Journal of Strategies & Governance

REVIEWER COMMENTS

Comments directed to the author may be typed within this form or on a separate sheet. Please remember that this form will be returned to the author(s). Therefore, in your comments intended for the author(s), do not make statements about the acceptability of a paper; suggested revisions should be stated as such and not expressed as conditions of acceptance. The following is a simple guide to assist in your review. Please feel free to expand as necessary. Criticism should be presented dispassionately; offensive remarks are not acceptable. **Constructive comments with suggestions for improvements, not just criticisms**. It is recommended that you make a copy of the review for your files. The manuscript may be returned to you for a second review, particularly if the requested modification was extensive. In addition, if the manuscript is resubmitted after rejection, the new version may be sent to you for review. In either case, you will need to evaluate the author's responses to your original criticisms.

Manuscript # GJSG-000

Title:

Please rate the paper on the following dimensions and provide detailed comments. Place a Bold **X** under your rating.

	<u>Poor</u>		Good		-	<u>Very</u> <u>good</u>					
1. To what extent does this paper make a new or substantial contribution to the literature in its own area?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
Comments:											
2. To what extent did you understand what the author(s) were trying to accomplish?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
Comments:											
3. To what extent did you gain new insights (learn new things) from reading the paper?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
Comments:											
4. To what extent would educators find the ideas in the paper interesting or challenging?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
Comments:											
5. To what extent, if this is an empirical paper, is the methodology appropriate?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
Comments:	•		•	•							

6.	To what extent is the paper competently written in academic terms? (Concepts defined? Statistics presented correctly? Etc.)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
Comments:												
7.	To what extent is the paper stylistically appropriate for GJSG? (Clear, concise, and absent of clichés)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
Comments:												
8.	To what extent is the title and abstract appropriate for the paper? Are the references sufficiently complete? (Please indicate significant omissions)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
Comments:												
		Poor/Not Appropri ate or not Publishabl e		Average-Good/ Needs modifications and work			Very Good/ Publishabl e with little or no work					
9.	What is your overall assessment of the paper?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
Comments:												

1- <u>General comments to author(s) (type them below)</u>

2- Overall recommendation (will be deleted before forwarding to authors)

3- OVERALL RECOMMENDATION FOR INCLUDING THE PAPER IN GJSG

- 1- [] Manuscript should be accepted by GJSG as it stands
- 2- [] Manuscript should be accepted with <u>minor</u> modifications (be specific)
- 3- [] Manuscript should be returned to the author for <u>major</u> modifications (please be specific) with the suggestion that the paper be resubmitted
- 4- [] Manuscript should be rejected
- 5- [] Manuscript should be recommended for submission to a more appropriate journal (please be specific)